

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

0

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/522,235	08/09/2005	Partho Sarkar	59652-5001	1623	
24574 7590 01/30/2008			EXAMINER .		
JEFFER, MANGELS, BUTLER & MARMARO, LLP 1900 AVENUE OF THE STARS, 7TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90067	KALAFUT, STEPHEN J				
LOS ANGELE	S, CA 90067		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1795		
		•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/30/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astion Comment	10/522,235	SARKAR ET AL.	SARKAR ET AL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
<u> </u>	Stephen J. Kalafut	1795				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ac	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO b, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	· s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		ters prosecution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under the	•	•				
ciocoa in accordance with the practice and a	en parto quayro, 1000 o.e	J. 11, 100 J.G. 210.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) Claim(s) 37-49 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.	•	_			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>37-49</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 January 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12\∑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C.	8 119/a)_(d) or (f)				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Burea		·	Glago			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		received				
		. 10001100.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		S)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>25 Jan 2005</u> .	6) 🔲 Other:		_			

10/522,235 Art Unit: 1795

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 37-49 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-27 of U.S. Patent No. 6,893,762 in view of Visco et al. (US 6,605,316 or WO 01/09968), cited by applicants. The metallic porous support layer being both strong enough to support the functional layer assembly and porous enough to allow a reactant to flow therethrough would be implied by or obvious over the features recited in the claims of the '672 patent. For example, patented claim 1 recites "the dimensions of the support layer being selected to enable the support layer to mechanically support the functional layer assembly", which would imply that the dimensions would enable the support to be strong enough to provide the supporting function. Since the functional layers are in "concentric adjacent contact with the support layer", and since the support layer is "tubular" and a "substantially

10/522,235

Art Unit: 1795

metallic porous" layer, the pores would need to convey one of the reactants to the functional layer in adjacent contact therewith. Patented claim 2 recites a wall thickness of 65 microns or less, within the range of present claim 38, which is at most 80 microns. Patented claim 5 recites yttria-stabilized zirconia and Gd₂O doped CeO₂ as electrolyte materials. Patented claim 8 recites cobalt oxide, iron oxide and copper oxide as sintering aids. Patented claims 18 and 24 recite methods of making fuel cells, including steps of depositing the functional layers, depositing a layer that is layer combusted, and sintering the deposited layers. Patented claims 21, 25 and 25 recite electrophoretic deposition as the step used to deposit some of the layers. The present claims differ from the patented claims by reciting that the electrodes are ceramic or cermet, and that the electrolyte is ceramic. Visco et al. disclose a solid electrolyte fuel cell with a ceramic electrolyte (US '316, column 10, lines 37-51; WO '968, page 14, line 35 through page 15, line 7), one cermet electrode (US '316, column 5, lines 6-11; WO '968, page 7, lines 24-28) and one ceramic electrode (US '316, column 7, lines 46-48; WO '968, page 11, lines 4-6). Because the materials of Visco et al. are used in solid oxide fuel cells (US '316, column 6, lines 20-22; WO '968, page 9, lines 9-11), which is the type of fuel cell recited in the claims of the '672 patent, it would be obvious to make the fuel cell of the claims of the '672 patent out of the materials disclosed by Visco et al.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The specification does not refer to the US applications from which the PCT priority document is derived.

Appropriate correction is required.

10/522,235

Art Unit: 1795

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sarkar (US 6,846,588) and Sarkar *et al.* (US 6,824,907, US 6,936,367 and US 7,235,321) and are patents related to the present application. The PCT citations indicated as "X" or "Y" are noted, but are cited against claims which are no longer present. The document WO 01/86030 is indicated as corresponding to three US Pre-Grant Publications, which are now patented as the '907, '588 and '367 documents stated immediately above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Kalafut whose telephone number is 571-272-1286. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

STEPHEN KALAFUT PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP